



Pain & Rehabilitative

CONSULTANTS MEDICAL GROUP

Babak Jamasbi, MD | Brendan Morley, MD
Timothy Lo, MD | Arzhang Zerehsiki, MD | Neil Kamdar, MD | John Alchemy, MD

1335 Stanford Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 | Phone: (510) 647-5101 | Fax: (510) 647-5105

Visit Note

Provider:

Supervising: Babak J. Jamasbi, M.D.

Performing: Jessica Aikin, PA-C

Encounter Date: Sep 04, 2020

Patient: Shockley, Jonathan (PT00023609)

Sex: Male

DOB: Sep 27, 1978 Age: 41 Year

Race: Unreported/Refused to Report

**Address: 1000 Sutter St Room 123, San Francisco CA 94109 Pref. Phone(H):
415-312-4029**

Primary Dr.: Babak Jamasbi, M.D.

Referred By: Babak Jamasbi, M.D.

Injury Date: 02/15/2019

Employer: Biotelemetry, Inc

Case Insurance: Chubb Son of Federal Ins Company

Claim No.: 040519008736

VISIT TYPE:

PRIMARY TREATING PHYSICIAN'S PROGRESS REPORT:

Mr. Shockley was not physically able to come into the office today due to compliance with the current National Emergency guidelines for the COVID-19 pandemic; therefore, a telemedicine follow-up visit was done today. The nature of telehealth consultation was discussed with the patient, including the risks, benefits and alternatives. The patient had opportunity to ask questions about the information and those questions were answered. The patient verbalized understanding and agreed to this telehealth consultation.

SUBJECTIVE COMPLAINTS:

Patient is here to follow up on pain in his arms and bilateral hands.

Patient continues to report bilateral arm pain, with pain in his bilateral upper extremities, right greater than left. Pain radiates from his hands and wrists up to his elbows and he has pain in his right deltoid region and shoulder. Pain is described as burning and "pulling". He reports pain in his neck as well as numbness and tingling into his right 4th and 5th digit. Pain is worse with activity and better with conservative treatment.

The patient did see Dr. Leonard Gordon on July 22, 2020, regarding ulnar mononeuropathy at the bilateral elbows as seen on most recent EMG. He has also had a new EMG of the bilateral upper extremities done with Dr. Liberty Jenkins, neurologist. Per the patient, this also confirmed ulnar neuropathy.

Our request for 12 sessions of acupuncture treatment was denied and is in the process of appeal. In the meantime, he would be interested in trying aqua therapy.

With regard to medication, he continues with Lidocaine cream and voltaren gel as topical medications. He denies side effects with his medications. He does request for refills today.

Medical History:

PAST MEDICAL HISTORY

1. Bronchitis 2 years ago.
2. Eczema.
3. He had an episode of epilepsy and took Tegretol at age 10 or 11.
4. History of anxiety. He was taking Hydroxyzine but now does not take medication and just meditates.

PAST SURGICAL HISTORY

1. Adenoidectomy in 1987.
2. Lasik surgery in 2000.
3. Sympathectomy in 2000.
4. Right big toe bone spur removal in 2000.
5. Right Achilles tendon debridement in 2002.
6. Right Achilles tendon debridement in 2003.

Social History:

PSYCH/SOCIAL HISTORY

The patient does not smoke cigarettes.

The patient does not drink alcoholic beverages.

The patient does not use illicit drugs.

The patient is not married.

The patient has a significant other.

The patient has no children.

Patient does not have a family history of childhood abuse.

Patient does not have a family history of sexual abuse.

Epworth Scale is 0. The patient goes to bed, falls asleep and wakes up at variable times. The patient feels he gets adequate rest.

OBJECTIVE FINDINGS:

Mental Status: The patient is awake, alert and fully oriented with normal speech and language. Appropriately conversant, pleasant, cooperates with exam.

Spine:

Upright spinal posture

Motor:

Patient is able to stand up from a chair unassisted.

Gait is narrow based and steady.

UE/LE muscle strength:

Bilateral upper and lower extremity strength appear intact on non-confrontational movement exam, without evidence for foot drop or other observable weakness. Normal bulk and tone. No atrophy noted.

Current Medications:

1. Lidocaine 5% Ointment Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily
2. Voltaren 1% Gel Apply to affected area daily
3. Advil (OTC)
4. Aspirin Ec 81 Mg Tablet (OTC)

FORMAL REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION:

6 sessions of Aquatic Therapy (97113) Elbow Bilateral Elbows Wrist Bilateral Wrists Hand Bilateral Hands.

This is a formal request for authorization of the medications within the "prescriptions" section of this note.

DIAGNOSIS:

- M50.10 Cervical disc disorder with radiculopathy, unspecified cervical region
- M70.821 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, right upper arm
- M70.822 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, left upper arm
- M70.831 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, right forearm
- G56.20 Lesion of ulnar nerve, unspecified upper limb

PRESCRIPTION:

1 Gabapentin 300 Mg Capsule SIG: Take one QHS QTY: 30.00.

Refill Added:

1 Lidocaine 5% Ointment SIG: Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily QTY: 60.00.

2 Voltaren 1% Gel SIG: Apply to affected area daily QTY: 1.00.

TREATMENT PLAN:

Assessment:

This patient was injured during the course of his usual and customary work. The patient has worked as an EKG technician and this requires him to perform repetitive activity using his hands. Massage therapy exacerbated his pain. He is not currently working.

The patient did have a QME with Dr. Stoller on 1/23/20. Per Dr. Stoller, the patient is not yet MMI. He recommends an upper extremity EMG to assess his neuropathic symptoms as well as a cervical spine MRI to rule out radiculopathy. Cervical MRI was completed on 4/3/20 and is reviewed below, EMG was completed on 2/10/20 with Dr. Neeti Bathia, this shows demyelinating ulnar mononeuropathy bilaterally across the elbows, no evidence of median, radial, or cervical radiculopathy on either side.

Plan:

- With regard to the bilateral elbows, he was approved for a surgical consult to address bilateral ulnar neuropathy and did see Dr. Leonard Gordon on 7/22/20. Per the patient, he is not being recommended for surgery. We will request for Dr. Gordon's report as well as Dr. Liberty Jenkins new EMG report today.

- The patient has a QME re-evaluation with Dr. Stoller, which has now been postponed until January 2021.

- Our recent request for 12 additional sessions of acupuncture has been denied and will be appealed based on functional improvement that was documented at his last clinic visit. At this time we will request for 6 sessions of aqua therapy for his wrists, hands, and elbows.

- With regard to the cervical spine, MRI of the cervical spine from 4/3/20 shows a 4mm left disc osteophyte at C5-C6 causing severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis as well as a left paracentral disc protrusion at C6-C7, mild central stenosis from C5-C7. Severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis at C5-C6 may be contributing to right shoulder and deltoid pain. We discussed CESI, the patient defers injections. Our request for surgical consultation with Dr. Paul Slosar was deferred on the basis that his insurance is disputing liability for the body parts of the neck and the bilateral upper arms. Our recent re-request for surgical consultation for the neck, as well as TPI in the bilateral trapezius region, were deferred due to dispute of liability of the neck as part of his claim.

- With regard to medications, Voltaren gel and Lidocaine ointment refilled today. We will also trial Gabapentin, we will start him off with 300 mg at night and monitor his response at his next visit, consider titrating up to full therapeutic dosing if tolerated.

Follow up in 4-6 weeks.

WORK STATUS:

WORK STATUS: The patient is not permanent and stationary.

Work restrictions:

- Repetitive activities using upper extremities limited to 1 hour in an 8 hour shift.
- Light computer work for up to an hour for an 8 hour shift.
- No lifting, pushing, or pulling greater than 5 pounds

TIME SPENT:

Greater than 50% of the visit was spent in counseling and coordination of care. As such, time spent with the patient should be the guiding determinant in determining the level of evaluation and management services. 25 minutes were spent in direct face to face time with the patient. "I declare under penalty and perjury that information contained in this report and its attachments, if any, is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and beliefs, except as to information I have indicated that I received from others. As to that information, I declare under penalty of perjury that the information accurately describes the information provided to me, and except as noted herein, that I believe it to be true.

I further declare that I have not violated labor code section 139.3, and have not offered, delivered, received or accepted any rebate, refund, commission, references, patronage dividend of accounts or other consideration, whether in the form of money or otherwise, or compensation or inducement for any referred evaluation or examination."

Please consider the treatment plan as a formal request for preauthorization services described therein. In the event that the written denial, authorization or notice of delay is not received by our office within 7 business days with a description of the review by a qualified physician with training in pain management and/or physical medicine and rehabilitation expertise (as per the dictates of the California Code of Regulation 9792.6) we will assume de facto authorization.

If prior pre-authorization has been requested in an earlier report or therapeutic plan, please consider the date of the prior request as applicable for the purposes of the formal date of request.

*Dr. Morley and Dr. Jamasbi hold a financial interest in Bay Surgery Center. The Northern California Functional Restoration Program is an integral part of Pain and Rehabilitative Consultants Medical Group.

JUSTIFICATION:

Gabapentin (Neurontin): The following has been recommended regarding Gabapentin (Neurontin) in the MTUS/ACOEM guidelines

Anti-convulsant Agents for Neuropathic Pain

Recommended.

Anti-convulsants (Gabapentin, Pregabalin, Mirogabalin, Gabapentin Enacarbil, Lamotrigine, Topiramate, Carbamazepine, and Oxcarbazepine) are moderately recommended for treatment of neuropathic pain.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)

Level of Confidence – High

Indications: Moderate to severe painful neuropathic pain sufficient neuropathic pain to require medication. Generally, anti-convulsants are considered a potential adjunct as a second- or third-line treatment for chronic neuropathic pain, after attempting other treatments (e.g., anti-depressants, aerobic exercise, other exercise).

Benefits: Modest pain reduction. May include reduced sleep disturbance.

Harms: Sedating properties may be intolerable. For some, the sedation is sufficient to impair daytime activities and thus, especially in those cases, be inappropriate for safety sensitive jobs. Also may have adverse effects including nausea, vomiting, dizziness, confusion, somnolence and weight gain. Carbamazepine may be associated with fluid and electrolyte abnormalities. Topiramate may cause kidney stones and ocular toxicity.

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Frequency and dosing are based on the medication prescribed. Duration of use for neuropathic pain patients may be indefinite, although many of these patients do not require indefinite treatment as the condition usually often resolves or improves. Gabapentin dose is initiated usually at 300mg/day and gradually raised.

Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution of pain, lack of efficacy, intolerance, or development of adverse effects. Monitoring of employed patients is indicated due to elevated risks for CNS-sedating adverse effects.

Rationale: There is high and moderate quality evidence of efficacy for multiple anti-convulsants (Gabapentin, Pregabalin, Lamotrigine, Carbamazepine and Topiramate) for treatment of peripheral neuropathic pain in comparison with placebo [199][200, 201][191-194, 198, 202]. Although not all studies are positive [195, 196, 1146, 1147], the highest quality studies and those with larger sample sizes suggest efficacy. Nearly all quality evidence is of peripheral neuropathic pain, although at least one quality trial included MS patients [192]. There is not evidence that adding lamotrigine to gabapentin is efficacious [192]. Comparable efficacy has been suggested when comparing gabapentin and nortriptyline [1120]. In a study by Otto 2004, Valproic acid did not prove efficacious, however, in another study divalproex showed efficacy for post-herpetic neuralgia when compared to placebo at 8 weeks [1148]. Anti-convulsants are not invasive, have some adverse effects, are moderate cost, have some quality evidence of efficacy for treatment of neuropathic pain and are recommended.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Google Scholar without date limits using the following terms: neuropathic pain, nerve pain, neuralgia; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective, and prospective studies. We found and reviewed 1413 articles in PubMed, 917 in Scopus, 176 in CINAHL, 9,630 in Google Scholar and 0 from other sources. We considered for inclusion 349 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 12 from CINAHL, 0 from Google Scholar and 0 from other sources. Of the 361 articles considered for inclusion, 238 randomized controlled trials and 123 systematic reviews met the inclusion criteria. A comprehensive literature search since 2012 was conducted using PubMed using the following terms: diabetic neuropathy; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective, and prospective studies. We found and reviewed 2423 articles in PubMed and 0 from other sources. We considered for inclusion 19 from PubMed and 0 from other sources. Of the 19 articles considered for inclusion, 13 randomized controlled trials and 0 systematic reviews met the inclusion criteria. There is high-quality and moderate-quality studies incorporated into this analysis. There is low-quality evidence listed in Appendix 4.

Lidocaine cream: The following has been recommended regarding Lidocaine in the ODG guidelines:

Lidocaine: Recommended for a trial if there is evidence of localized pain that is consistent with a neuropathic etiology. See Criteria for use below. Topical lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch (Lidoderm®) has been designated for orphan status by the FDA for neuropathic pain. Lidoderm is also used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other commercially approved topical formulations of lidocaine (whether creams, lotions or gels) are indicated for neuropathic pain. Further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain disorders other than post-herpetic neuralgia. Formulations that do not involve a dermal-patch system are generally indicated as local anesthetics and anti-pruritics. In February 2007 the FDA notified consumers and healthcare professionals of the potential hazards of the use of topical lidocaine. Those at particular risk were individuals that applied large amounts of this substance over large areas, left the products on for long periods of time, or used the agent with occlusive dressings. Systemic exposure was highly variable among patients. Only FDA-approved products are currently recommended. Indications: Recommended for localized pain that is consistent with a neuropathic etiology after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Topical lidocaine patches are generally not recommended for non-neuropathic pain (including osteoarthritis or myofascial pain/trigger points). See Criteria for use below. Most studies have utilized the Neuropathic Pain Scale (NPS) as measure of neuropathy when there are questions of whether this is the cause of pain. There is limited information as to long-term efficacy and continued information as to outcomes should be provided to allow for on-going use. (Argoff, 2004) (Galer, 2004) (Argoff, 2006) (Dworkin, 2007) (Khaliq-2009) (Burch, 2004) (Gimbel, 2005) (Dworkin, 2003) (Finnerup, 2005) (O'Connor, 2009) Discussion about specific details of these studies are given in detail with references. Trigger points & myofascial pain: Not recommended. (Affaitati, 2009) (Dalpaiz,

2004) Osteoarthritis of the knee: Not generally recommended unless a component of neuropathy is indicated using measures such as the Neuropathic Pain Scale. All current available studies were sponsored by the manufacturer of lidocaine patches and are non-controlled, and of short-term in duration. (Burch, 2004) (Kivitz, 2008) Axial back pain (including osteoarthritis): Not recommended unless neuropathy is suggested. Current studies as to use of Lidoderm patches for non-neuropathic low back pain are non-controlled, may or may not evaluate for the presence of neuropathic quality, have included multiple stages of pain (from acute to chronic), have included multiple diagnoses, show limited results in pain reduction, and are generally sponsored by the manufacturer. Acute groups have had better results than chronic pain patients, which may be attributed to natural recovery. (Gimbel, 2005) (Galer, 2004) (Argoff, 2004) The FDA has approved a Lidocaine/ tetracaine cream (Pliaglis®) for local analgesia. This is only indicated for superficial aesthetic procedures, such as dermal filler injection, pulsed dye laser therapy, facial laser resurfacing, and laser-assisted tattoo removal. (FDA, 2013).

Voltaren Gel: The following has been recommended regarding Voltaren gel in the MTUS/ACOEM guidelines

Topical NSAIDs are selectively recommended for treatment of chronic persistent pain where target tissue is superficially located.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

Level of Confidence – Low

Indications: Chronic persistent pain in a superficial area that is amenable to a topical agent. Should generally have intolerance of, or another indication against oral NSAID use.

Benefits: Improvement in pain and function. Avoidance of gastrointestinal adverse effects of some NSAIDs.

Harms: Irritation, allergy, having to use on skin that may interfere with some job performance needs

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations

Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution, intolerance, adverse effects, or lack of benefits.

Rationale: There are no quality studies of treating chronic persistent pain with topical NSAIDs. The target tissue for most, but not all chronic persistent pain with an occupational basis is generally too deep for justification of use of topical NSAIDs. Topical NSAIDs are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are high cost for a typical treatment regimen, and are selectively recommended for treatment of conditions amenable to topical treatment who generally also have intolerance or other contraindication for oral NSAID use.

Evidence: There are high- and moderate-quality RCTs incorporated into this analysis. There are

no quality studies evaluating topical NSAIDs for treatment of chronic persistent pain syndrome.

It has been brought to our attention that on occasion there has been some confusion in regards to prescriptions written from physician assistants and nurse practitioners in this office (Pain and Rehabilitative Consultants Medical Group) working under the direction of the primary treating physician. These prescriptions are not being honored and are refused to be filled by the adjusters because they are written by the physician assistant or nurse practitioner. All of our physician assistants and nurse practitioners are licensed in California and work directly under the supervision of medical doctors. Please refer to the following labor code LC 3209.10 in regards to the legality of physician assistants, nurse practitioners, and primary treating physicians as it relates to the ability to write prescriptions:

LC 3209.10. (a) Medical treatment of a work-related injury required to cure or relieve the effects of the injury may be provided by a state licensed physician assistant or nurse practitioner, acting under the review or supervision of a physician and surgeon pursuant to standardized procedures or protocols within their lawfully authorized scope of practice. The reviewing or supervising physician and surgeon of the physician assistant or nurse practitioner shall be deemed to be the treating physician. For the purposes of this section, "medical treatment" includes the authority of the nurse practitioner or physician assistant to authorize the patient to receive time off from work for a period not to exceed three calendar days if that authority is included in a standardized procedure or protocol approved by the supervising physician. The nurse practitioner or physician assistant may cosign the Doctor's First Report of Occupational Injury or Illness. The treating physician shall make any determination of temporary disability and shall sign the report.

CC:

Kweller, Esq., Zachary : 09/08/2020

Castro, Mario : 09/08/2020

UR, Chubb : 09/08/2020

UR, Chubb : 09/09/2020

Kweller, Esq., Zachary : 09/11/2020

Castro, Mario : 09/11/2020

UR, Chubb : 09/11/2020

This visit note has been electronically signed off by Jamasbi, Babak J., M.D. on 09/12/2020



Pain & Rehabilitative

CONSULTANTS MEDICAL GROUP

Babak Jamasbi, MD | Brendan Morley, MD
Timothy Lo, MD | Arzhang Zereshti, MD | Neil Kamdar, MD | John Alchemy, MD

1335 Stanford Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 | Phone: (510) 647-5101 | Fax: (510) 647-5105

Visit Note

Provider:

Supervising: Babak J, Jamasbi, M.D.

Performing: Jessica Aikin, PA-C

Encounter Date: Sep 25, 2020

Patient: Shockley, Jonathan (PT00023609)

Sex: Male

DOB: Sep 27, 1978 Age: 41 Year

Race: Unreported/Refused to Report

**Address: 1000 Sutter St Room 123, San Francisco CA 94109 Pref. Phone(H):
415-312-4029**

Primary Dr.: Babak Jamasbi, M.D.

Referred By: Babak Jamasbi, M.D.

Injury Date: 02/15/2019

Employer: Biotelemetry, Inc

Case Insurance: Chubb Son of Federal Ins Company

Claim No.: 040519008736

VISIT TYPE:

PRIMARY TREATING PHYSICIAN'S PROGRESS REPORT:

Mr. Shockley was not physically able to come into the office today due to compliance with the current National Emergency guidelines for the COVID-19 pandemic; therefore, a telemedicine follow-up visit was done today. The nature of telehealth consultation was discussed with the patient, including the risks, benefits and alternatives. The patient had opportunity to ask questions about the information and those questions were answered. The patient verbalized understanding and agreed to this telehealth consultation.

SUBJECTIVE COMPLAINTS:

Patient is here via Facetime to follow up on pain in his arms and bilateral hands.

Patient denies acute changes to his pain complaints today. He continues to report bilateral arm pain, with pain in his bilateral upper extremities, right greater than left. Pain radiates from his hands and wrists up to his elbows and he has pain in his right deltoid region and shoulder. Pain is described as burning and "pulling". He reports pain in his neck as well as numbness and tingling into his right 4th and 5th digit. Pain is worse with activity and better with conservative treatment.

He has been approved for 6 sessions of aqua therapy.

With regard to medication, he continues with Lidocaine cream and voltaren gel as topical medications. He denies side effects with his medications. He does request for refills today. He took one tablet of gabapentin that was prescribed at his previous visit, and he reports extreme fatigue for days from this medication.

Medical History:

PAST MEDICAL HISTORY

1. Bronchitis 2 years ago.
2. Eczema.
3. He had an episode of epilepsy and took Tegretol at age 10 or 11.
4. History of anxiety. He was taking Hydroxyzine but now does not take medication and just meditates.

PAST SURGICAL HISTORY

1. Adenoidectomy in 1987.
2. Lasik surgery in 2000.
3. Sympathectomy in 2000.
4. Right big toe bone spur removal in 2000.
5. Right Achilles tendon debridement in 2002.
6. Right Achilles tendon debridement in 2003.

Social History:

PSYCH/SOCIAL HISTORY

The patient does not smoke cigarettes.

The patient does not drink alcoholic beverages.

The patient does not use illicit drugs.

The patient is not married.

The patient has a significant other.

The patient has no children.

Patient does not have a family history of childhood abuse.

Patient does not have a family history of sexual abuse.

Epworth Scale is 0. The patient goes to bed, falls asleep and wakes up at variable times. The patient feels he gets adequate rest.

OBJECTIVE FINDINGS:

Mental Status: The patient is awake, alert and fully oriented with normal speech and language. Appropriately conversant, pleasant, cooperates with exam.

Spine:

Upright spinal posture

Motor:

Patient is able to stand up from a chair unassisted.

Gait is narrow based and steady.

UE/LE muscle strength:

Bilateral upper and lower extremity strength appear intact on non-confrontational movement exam, without evidence for foot drop or other observable weakness. Normal bulk and tone. No atrophy noted.

Current Medications:

1. Lidocaine 5% Ointment Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily
2. Voltaren 1% Gel Apply to affected area daily
3. Gabapentin 300 Mg Capsule Take one QHS
4. Advil (OTC)
5. Aspirin Ec 81 Mg Tablet (OTC)

FORMAL REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION:

This is a formal request for authorization of the medications within the "prescriptions" section of this note.

DIAGNOSIS:

- M50.10 Cervical disc disorder with radiculopathy, unspecified cervical region
M70.821 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, right upper arm
M70.822 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, left upper arm
M70.831 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, right forearm
G56.20 Lesion of ulnar nerve, unspecified upper limb

PRESCRIPTION:

Refill Added:

1 Lidocaine 5% Ointment SIG: Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily QTY: 60.00.

2 Voltaren 1% Gel SIG: Apply to affected area daily QTY: 1.00.

TREATMENT PLAN:

Assessment:

This patient was injured during the course of his usual and customary work. The patient has worked as an EKG technician and his requires him to perform repetitive activity using his hands. Massage therapy exacerbated his pain. He is not currently working.

The patient did have a QME with Dr. Stoller on 1/23/20. Per Dr. Stoller, the patient is not yet MMI. He recommends an upper extremity EMG to assess his neuropathic symptoms as well as a cervical spine MRI to rule out radiculopathy. Cervical MRI was completed on 4/3/20 and is reviewed below, EMG was completed on 2/10/20 with Dr. Neeti Bathia, this shows demyelinating ulnar mononeuropathy bilaterally across the elbows, no evidence of median, radial, or cervical radiculopathy on either side.

Plan:

- With regard to the bilateral elbows, he was approved for a surgical consult to address bilateral ulnar neuropathy and did see Dr. Leonard Gordon on 7/22/20. Per the patient, he is not being recommended for surgery. We have requested for Dr. Gordon's report as well as Dr. Liberty Jenkins new EMG report.
- The patient has a QME re-evaluation with Dr. Stoller, which has now been postponed until January 2021.
- Our request for 12 additional sessions of acupuncture has been denied on appeal and submitted for IMR review, no updates today. He has been approved for 6 sessions of aqua therapy for his wrists, hands, and elbows. We will monitor his response to this treatment.
- With regard to the cervical spine, MRI of the cervical spine from 4/3/20 shows a 4mm left disc osteophyte at C5-C6 causing severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis as well as a left paracentral disc protrusion at C6-C7, mild central stenosis from C5-C7. Severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis at C5-C6 may be contributing to right shoulder and deltoid pain. We discussed CESI, the patient defers injections. Our request for surgical consultation with Dr. Paul Slosar was deferred on the basis that his insurance is disputing liability for the body parts of the neck and the bilateral upper arms. Our recent re-request for surgical consultation for the neck, as well as TPI in the bilateral trapezius region, were deferred due to dispute of liability of the neck as part of his claim.
- With regard to his work restrictions, we have indicated that he can perform 1 hour of computer work in an 8 hour day, we are unable to determine how long these work restrictions are going to be in effect, this largely depends on how he continues to respond to treatment and if he receives adequate treatment for his cervical spine, which is currently being disputed as a covered body part despite having an MRI of the cervical spine authorized.
- With regard to medications, Voltaren gel and Lidocaine ointment refilled today. Gabapentin discontinued due to side effects.

Follow up in 4-6 weeks.

WORK STATUS:

WORK STATUS: The patient is not permanent and stationary.

Work restrictions:

- Repetitive activities using upper extremities limited to 1 hour in an 8 hour shift.
- Light computer work for up to an hour for an 8 hour shift.
- No lifting, pushing, or pulling greater than 5 pounds

TIME SPENT:

Greater than 50% of the visit was spent in counseling and coordination of care. As such, time spent with the patient should be the guiding determinant in determining the level of evaluation and management services. 15 minutes were spent in direct face to face time with the patient. "I declare under penalty and perjury that information contained in this report and its attachments, if any, is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and beliefs, except as to information I have indicated that I received from others. As to that information, I declare under penalty of perjury that the information accurately describes the information provided to me, and except as noted herein, that I believe it to be true.

I further declare that I have not violated labor code section 139.3, and have not offered, delivered, received or accepted any rebate, refund, commission, references, patronage dividend of accounts or other consideration, whether in the form of money or otherwise, or compensation or inducement for any referred evaluation or examination."

Please consider the treatment plan as a formal request for preauthorization services described therein. In the event that the written denial, authorization or notice of delay is not received by our office within 7 business days with a description of the review by a qualified physician with training in pain management and/or physical medicine and rehabilitation expertise (as per the dictates of the California Code of Regulation 9792.6) we will assume de facto authorization.

If prior pre-authorization has been requested in an earlier report or therapeutic plan, please consider the date of the prior request as applicable for the purposes of the formal date of request.

*Dr. Morley and Dr. Jamasbi hold a financial interest in Bay Surgery Center. The Northern California Functional Restoration Program is an integral part of Pain and Rehabilitative Consultants Medical Group.

JUSTIFICATION:

Lidocaine cream: The following has been recommended regarding Lidocaine in the ODG guidelines:

Lidocaine: Recommended for a trial if there is evidence of localized pain that is consistent with a neuropathic etiology. See Criteria for use below. Topical lidocaine, in the formulation of a

dermal patch (Lidoderm®) has been designated for orphan status by the FDA for neuropathic pain. Lidoderm is also used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other commercially approved topical formulations of lidocaine (whether creams, lotions or gels) are indicated for neuropathic pain. Further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain disorders other than post-herpetic neuralgia. Formulations that do not involve a dermal-patch system are generally indicated as local anesthetics and anti-pruritics. In February 2007 the FDA notified consumers and healthcare professionals of the potential hazards of the use of topical lidocaine. Those at particular risk were individuals that applied large amounts of this substance over large areas, left the products on for long periods of time, or used the agent with occlusive dressings. Systemic exposure was highly variable among patients. Only FDA-approved products are currently recommended. Indications: Recommended for localized pain that is consistent with a neuropathic etiology after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Topical lidocaine patches are generally not recommended for non-neuropathic pain (including osteoarthritis or myofascial pain/trigger points). See Criteria for use below. Most studies have utilized the Neuropathic Pain Scale (NPS) as measure of neuropathy when there are questions of whether this is the cause of pain. There is limited information as to long-term efficacy and continued information as to outcomes should be provided to allow for on-going use. (Argoff, 2004) (Galer, 2004) (Argoff, 2006) (Dworkin, 2007) (Khaliq-2009) (Burch, 2004) (Gimbel, 2005) (Dworkin, 2003) (Finnerup, 2005) (O'Connor, 2009) Discussion about specific details of these studies are given in detail with references. Trigger points & myofascial pain: Not recommended. (Affaitati, 2009) (Dalpaiz, 2004) Osteoarthritis of the knee: Not generally recommended unless a component of neuropathy is indicated using measures such as the Neuropathic Pain Scale. All current available studies were sponsored by the manufacturer of lidocaine patches and are non-controlled, and of short-term in duration. (Burch, 2004) (Kivitz, 2008) Axial back pain (including osteoarthritis): Not recommended unless neuropathy is suggested. Current studies as to use of Lidoderm patches for non-neuropathic low back pain are non-controlled, may or may not evaluate for the presence of neuropathic quality, have included multiple stages of pain (from acute to chronic), have included multiple diagnoses, show limited results in pain reduction, and are generally sponsored by the manufacturer. Acute groups have had better results than chronic pain patients, which may be attributed to natural recovery. (Gimbel, 2005) (Galer, 2004) (Argoff, 2004) The FDA has approved a Lidocaine/ tetracaine cream (Pliaglis®) for local analgesia. This is only indicated for superficial aesthetic procedures, such as dermal filler injection, pulsed dye laser therapy, facial laser resurfacing, and laser-assisted tattoo removal. (FDA, 2013).

Voltaren Gel: The following has been recommended regarding Voltaren gel in the MTUS/ACOEM guidelines

Topical NSAIDs are selectively recommended for treatment of chronic persistent pain where target tissue is superficially located.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

Level of Confidence – Low

Indications: Chronic persistent pain in a superficial area that is amenable to a topical agent. Should generally have intolerance of, or another indication against oral NSAID use.

Benefits: Improvement in pain and function. Avoidance of gastrointestinal adverse effects of some NSAIDs.

Harms: Irritation, allergy, having to use on skin that may interfere with some job performance needs

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations

Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution, intolerance, adverse effects, or lack of benefits.

Rationale: There are no quality studies of treating chronic persistent pain with topical NSAIDs. The target tissue for most, but not all chronic persistent pain with an occupational basis is generally too deep for justification of use of topical NSAIDs. Topical NSAIDs are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are high cost for a typical treatment regimen, and are selectively recommended for treatment of conditions amenable to topical treatment who generally also have intolerance or other contraindication for oral NSAID use.

Evidence: There are high- and moderate-quality RCTs incorporated into this analysis. There are no quality studies evaluating topical NSAIDs for treatment of chronic persistent pain syndrome.

It has been brought to our attention that on occasion there has been some confusion in regards to prescriptions written from physician assistants and nurse practitioners in this office (Pain and Rehabilitative Consultants Medical Group) working under the direction of the primary treating physician. These prescriptions are not being honored and are refused to be filled by the adjusters because they are written by the physician assistant or nurse practitioner. All of our physician assistants and nurse practitioners are licensed in California and work directly under the supervision of medical doctors. Please refer to the following labor code LC 3209.10 in regards to the legality of physician assistants, nurse practitioners, and primary treating physicians as it relates to the ability to write prescriptions:

LC 3209.10. (a) Medical treatment of a work-related injury required to cure or relieve the effects of the injury may be provided by a state licensed physician assistant or nurse practitioner, acting under the review or supervision of a physician and surgeon pursuant to standardized procedures or protocols within their lawfully authorized scope of practice. The reviewing or supervising physician and surgeon of the physician assistant or nurse practitioner shall be deemed to be the treating physician. For the purposes of this section, "medical treatment" includes the authority of the nurse practitioner or physician assistant to authorize the patient to receive time off from work for a period not to exceed three calendar days if that authority is included in a standardized procedure or protocol approved by the supervising physician. The nurse practitioner or physician assistant may cosign the Doctor's First Report of Occupational Injury or Illness. The treating physician shall make any determination of temporary disability and shall sign the report.

Followup:**6 Week(s)****with Julia Fellows, PA-C****CC:****Kweller, Esq., Zachary : 09/29/2020****Castro, Mario : 09/29/2020**

This visit note has been electronically signed off by Jamasbi, Babak J., M.D. on 09/27/2020



Pain & Rehabilitative CONSULTANTS MEDICAL GROUP

**Babak Jamasbi, MD | Brendan Morley, MD
Timothy Lo, MD | Arzhang Zereshti, MD | Neil Kamdar, MD | John Alchemy, MD**

1335 Stanford Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608 | Phone: (510) 647-5101 | Fax: (510) 647-5105

Visit Note

Provider:

Supervising: Babak J, Jamasbi, M.D.

Performing: Julia Fellows, PA-C

Encounter Date: Nov 06, 2020

Patient: Shockley, Jonathan (PT00023609)

Sex: Male

DOB: Sep 27, 1978 Age: 42 Year

Race: Unreported/Refused to Report

**Address: 1000 Sutter St Room 123, San Francisco CA 94109 Pref. Phone(H):
415-312-4029**

Primary Dr.: Babak Jamasbi, M.D.

Referred By: Babak Jamasbi, M.D.

Injury Date: 02/15/2019

Employer: Biotelemetry, Inc

Case Insurance: Chubb Son of Federal Ins Company

Claim No.: 040519008736

VISIT TYPE:

PRIMARY TREATING PHYSICIAN'S PROGRESS REPORT:

Mr. Shockley was not physically able to come into the office today due to compliance with the current National Emergency guidelines for the COVID-19 pandemic; therefore, a telemedicine follow-up visit was done today. The nature of telehealth consultation was discussed with the patient, including the risks, benefits and alternatives. The patient had opportunity to ask questions about the information and those questions were answered. The patient verbalized understanding and agreed to this telehealth consultation.

SUBJECTIVE COMPLAINTS:*******

Patient is presents via Facetime to follow up on pain in his arms and bilateral hands.

Patient denies acute changes to his pain complaints today. He continues to report bilateral arm pain, with pain in his bilateral upper extremities, right greater than left. Pain radiates from his hands and wrists up to his elbows and he has pain in his right deltoid region and shoulder. Pain is described as burning and "pulling". He reports pain in his neck as well as numbness and tingling into his right 4th and 5th digit. Pain is worse with activity and better with conservative treatment.

He has been approved for 6 sessions of aqua therapy but these are currently on hold as no pool facility is open due to COVID 19. Previously, the patient had been attending acupuncture therapy with benefit, but additional sessions have been denied on appeal.

He met with Dr. Gordon for a surgical consult on 7/22/20. We have this report for review today.

With regard to medication, he continues with Lidocaine cream and voltaren gel as topical medications. He denies side effects with his medications. He does request for refills today.

Patient reports that a few months back he took gabapentin briefly to see if it would improve his upper extremity pain. However this caused extreme fatigue which he still feels is occurring. Due to the fatigue, the patient he had some bloodwork done that showed elevated TSH. He attributes this elevation in TSH to his use of gabapentin and inquires about having this level repeated. This is discussed below.

Medical History:*********PAST MEDICAL HISTORY**

1. Bronchitis 2 years ago.
2. Eczema.
3. He had an episode of epilepsy and took Tegretol at age 10 or 11.
4. History of anxiety. He was taking Hydroxyzine but now does not take medication and just meditates.

PAST SURGICAL HISTORY

1. Adenoidectomy in 1987.
2. Lasik surgery in 2000.
3. Sympathectomy in 2000.
4. Right big toe bone spur removal in 2000.
5. Right Achilles tendon debridement in 2002.
6. Right Achilles tendon debridement in 2003.

Social History:*******

PSYCH/SOCIAL HISTORY

The patient does not smoke cigarettes.

The patient does not drink alcoholic beverages.

The patient does not use illicit drugs.

The patient is not married.

The patient has a significant other.

The patient has no children.

Patient does not have a family history of childhood abuse.

Patient does not have a family history of sexual abuse.

Epworth Scale is 0. The patient goes to bed, falls asleep and wakes up at variable times. The patient feels he gets adequate rest.

Family History:***** FAMILY HISTORY**

Patient does not have a family history of drug addiction.

Patient has a family history of chronic pain.

OBJECTIVE FINDINGS:**2014 E/M:****Constitutional - General Appearance:**

Patient is near ideal body weight and is well groomed..

Orientation:

Patient is alert and oriented x3..

Mood and Affect:

Patient does not exhibit acute distress, anxiety, confusion, fatigue, lethargy, pain, tearfulness, or suicidal ideation.

Current Medications:

1. Lidocaine 5% Ointment Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily
2. Voltaren 1% Gel Apply to affected area daily
3. Advil (OTC)
4. Aspirin Ec 81 Mg Tablet (OTC)

FORMAL REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION:

6 sessions of acupuncture 97813, 97814, 97026, 97124

Please submit as a change in material facts and attach Dr. Gordon's consult located in IMS documents.

This is a formal request for authorization of the medications within the "prescriptions" section of this note.

DIAGNOSIS:

M50.10 Cervical disc disorder with radiculopathy, unspecified cervical region

M70.821 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, right upper arm

M70.822 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, left upper arm
M70.831 Other soft tissue disorders related to use, overuse and pressure, right forearm
G56.20 Lesion of ulnar nerve, unspecified upper limb

PRESCRIPTION:

1 Voltaren 1% Gel SIG: Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily QTY: 100.00.
REF: 1 update sig

Refill Added:

1 Lidocaine 5% Ointment SIG: Apply 2-3 grams to affected area up to 4 times daily QTY: 60.00. REF: 1

TREATMENT PLAN:

Assessment:

This patient was injured during the course of his usual and customary work. The patient has worked as an EKG technician and his requires him to perform repetitive activity using his hands. Massage therapy exacerbated his pain. He is not currently working.

The patient did have a QME with Dr. Stoller on 1/23/20. Per Dr. Stoller, the patient is not yet MMI. He recommends an upper extremity EMG to assess his neuropathic symptoms as well as a cervical spine MRI to rule out radiculopathy. Cervical MRI was completed on 4/3/20 and is reviewed below, EMG was completed on 2/10/20 with Dr. Neeti Bathia, this shows demyelinating ulnar mononeuropathy bilaterally across the elbows, no evidence of median, radial, or cervical radiculopathy on either side.

Plan:

- With regard to the bilateral elbows, he was approved for a surgical consult to address bilateral ulnar neuropathy and did see Dr. Leonard Gordon on 7/22/20. Per this report, Dr. Gordon does not recommend any surgery. He also was not able to confirm the presence of ulnar neuropathy through physical exam despite it being present on the patient's EMG. The patient reportedly underwent a repeat EMG with Dr. Liberty Jenkins and apparently this report also showed the presence of ulnar neuropathy. We do not have this report for review.

- Given that Dr. Gordon does not recommend a surgical intervention, we will resubmit for acupuncture with a change in material facts with his report attached.

- He has been approved for 6 sessions of aqua therapy for his wrists, hands, and elbows. These are currently on hold due to COVID19.

- With regard to the cervical spine, MRI of the cervical spine from 4/3/20 shows a 4mm left disc osteophyte at C5-C6 causing severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis as well as a left paracentral disc protrusion at C6-C7, mild central stenosis from C5-C7. Severe bilateral neural foraminal stenosis at C5-C6 may be contributing to right shoulder and deltoid pain. We discussed CESI, the patient defers injections. Our request for surgical consultation with Dr. Paul Slosar was

deferred on the basis that his insurance is disputing liability for the body parts of the neck and the bilateral upper arms. Our recent re-request for surgical consultation for the neck, as well as TPI in the bilateral trapezius region, were deferred due to dispute of liability of the neck as part of his claim.

-QME with Dr. Stoller has been postpone until 1/2021.

- With regard to his work restrictions, we have indicated that he can perform 1 hour of computer work in an 8 hour day, we are unable to determine how long these work restrictions are going to be in effect, this largely depends on how he continues to respond to treatment and if he receives adequate treatment for his cervical spine, which is currently being disputed as a covered body part despite having an MRI of the cervical spine authorized. Patient states that he was recently let go from his employer.

- With regard to medications, Voltaren gel and Lidocaine ointment refilled today. Gabapentin discontinued due to side effects. As mentioned above, the patient has been having considerable fatigue after trialing gabapentin for a short amount of time. He apparently had a abnormal TSH shortly after discontinuing gabapentin and he believe that he medication is responsible for the abnormal level. Since the patient took such a low dose for such a short amount of time, it is hard to say if gabapentin truly did cause the TSH level abnormality. He does feel that the fatigue is improving however so we will not be ordering a repeat level at this time.

Follow up in 4-6 weeks.

WORK STATUS:

WORK STATUS: The patient is not permanent and stationary.

Work restrictions:

- Repetitive activities using upper extremities limited to 1 hour in an 8 hour shift.
- Light computer work for up to an hour for an 8 hour shift.
- No lifting, pushing, or pulling greater than 5 pounds

TIME SPENT:

Greater than 50% of the visit was spent in counseling and coordination of care. As such, time spent with the patient should be the guiding determinant in determining the level of evaluation and management services. 15 minutes were spent in direct face to face time with the patient. To expedite the process in which we may provide the appropriate treatment for our patient, please consider the following from California Labor Code section 4610:

(c) No person other than a licensed physician who is competent to evaluate the specific clinical issues involved in the medical treatment services, and where these services are within the scope of the physician's practice, requested by the physician may modify,

delay, or deny requests for authorization of medical treatment for reasons of medical necessity to cure and relieve.

-The services we are requesting fall under the specialty of "Interventional Pain Management" which is an official medical specialty as designated by the The Department of Health and Human Services Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services and which is defined as the discipline of medicine devoted to the diagnosis and treatment of pain and related disorders with the application of interventional techniques in managing subacute, chronic, persistent, and intractable pain, independently or in conjunction with other modalities of treatments. Interventional pain management services are characterized often by the placement of surgical length needles in the spine or areas adjacent to the spine to deliver anesthetic agents, to remove scar tissue, or to deliver a solution designed to interrupt a nerve's ability to transmit a pain sensation.

Physicians from many backgrounds including Anesthesiology and Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (Physiatry) practice what may be described as "Interventional Pain Management", so long as the physician has undergone rigorous training in or devotes a significant portion of his or her practice to the performance of interventional pain management procedures, typically under fluoroscopic guidance, and is familiar with the current medical literature regarding such techniques.

(f) The criteria or guidelines used in the utilization review process to determine whether to approve, modify, delay, or deny medical treatment services shall be all of the following:

(4) Disclosed to the physician and the employee, if used as the basis of a decision to modify, delay, or deny services in a specified case under review.

(g) (1) Prospective or concurrent decisions shall be made in a timely fashion that is appropriate for the nature of the employee's condition, not to exceed five working days from the receipt of the information reasonably necessary to make the determination, but in no event more than 14 days from the date of the medical treatment recommendation by the physician.

(4) "Responses regarding decisions to modify, delay, or deny medical treatment services requested by physicians shall include a clear and concise explanation of the reasons for the employer's decision, a description of the criteria or guidelines used, and the clinical reasons for the decisions regarding medical necessity".

(5) If the employer, insurer, or other entity cannot make a decision within the timeframes specified in paragraph (1) or (2) because the employer or other entity is not in receipt of all of the

information reasonably necessary and requested, because the employer requires consultation by an expert reviewer, or because the employer has asked that an additional examination or test be performed upon the employee that is reasonable and consistent with good medical practice, the employer shall immediately notify the physician and the employee, in writing, that the employer cannot make a decision within the required timeframe, and specify the information requested but not received, the expert reviewer to be consulted, or the additional examinations or tests required. The employer shall also notify the physician and employee of the anticipated date on which a decision may be rendered. Upon receipt of all information reasonably necessary and requested by the employer, the employer shall approve, modify, or deny the request for authorization within the timeframes specified in paragraph (1) or (2). "I declare under penalty and perjury that information contained in this report and its attachments, if any, is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and beliefs, except as to information I have indicated that I received from others. As to that information, I declare under penalty of perjury that the information accurately describes the information provided to me, and except as noted herein, that I believe it to be true.

I further declare that I have not violated labor code section 139.3, and have not offered, delivered, received or accepted any rebate, refund, commission, references, patronage dividend of accounts or other consideration, whether in the form of money or otherwise, or compensation or inducement for any referred evaluation or examination."

Please consider the treatment plan as a formal request for preauthorization services described therein. In the event that the written denial, authorization or notice of delay is not received by our office within 7 business days with a description of the review by a qualified physician with training in pain management and/or physical medicine and rehabilitation expertise (as per the dictates of the California Code of Regulation 9792.6) we will assume de facto authorization.

If prior pre-authorization has been requested in an earlier report or therapeutic plan, please consider the date of the prior request as applicable for the purposes of the formal date of request.

*Dr. Morley and Dr. Jamasbi hold a financial interest in Bay Surgery Center. The Northern California Functional Restoration Program is an integral part of Pain and Rehabilitative Consultants Medical Group.

JUSTIFICATION:

Lidoderm Patch: The following has been recommended regarding Lidoderm Patch in the MTUS/ACOEM guidelines

Lidocaine Patches for Neuropathic Pain
Moderately Recommended.

Lidocaine patches are moderately recommended for treatment of postherpetic neuralgia when there is localized pain amenable to topical treatment.

Strength of Evidence – Moderately Recommended, Evidence (B)

Level of Confidence – Moderate

Indications: Moderate to severe peripheral neuropathic pain that includes superficial pain generation (e.g., postherpetic neuralgia), peripheral nerve injury, and possibly some toxic neuropathies with superficial pain generation [1190-1192]. One quality trial [1193] evaluated treatment of CTS with pain as a central complaint when other treatable causes of the pain have been eliminated and after more efficacious treatment strategies, such as splinting and glucocorticosteroid injection(s), have been attempted.

Benefits: Modest improvements in pain

Harms: Dermal irritation and intolerance; may have adverse systemic effects if widespread applications of numerous patches

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Lidocaine patch 5%, up to 4 patches applied up to 12 hrs/day. Duration of use may be ongoing for chronic, localized pain, although most patients do not require indefinite treatment. Caution is warranted regarding widespread use of topical anesthetics for potential systemic effects from widespread administration.[221] Topical 5% lidocaine medicated plaster has also been used [1194-1197], as well as lidocaine spray [1198]

Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution, intolerance, adverse effects, lack of benefits, or failure to progress over a trial of at least 2 weeks.

Rationale: Lidocaine patches have been reportedly effective for treatment of localized peripheral neuropathic pain [1190-1192]. Topical lidocaine has been suggested to improve pain associated with CTS and appears to be somewhat more effective than naproxen.[222] This provides some basis for a consensus recommendation for treatment of peripheral neuropathic pain. Lidocaine patches are not invasive, generally have a low adverse effect profile, are moderately costly, have some evidence of efficacy for treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome and thus are recommended for treatment of peripheral neuropathic pain. It is not recommended for central neuropathic pain.

Evidence: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Google Scholar without date limits using the following terms: neuropathic pain, nerve pain, neuralgia; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial, randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective, and prospective studies. We found and reviewed 1413 articles in PubMed, 917 in Scopus, 176 in CINAHL, 9,630 in Google Scholar and 0 from other sources. We considered for inclusion 349 from PubMed, 0 from Scopus, 12 from CINAHL, 0 from Google Scholar and 0 from other sources. Of the 361 articles considered for inclusion, 238 randomized controlled trials and 123 systematic reviews met the inclusion criteria. A comprehensive literature search since 2012 was conducted using PubMed using the following terms: diabetic neuropathy; controlled clinical trial, controlled trials, randomized controlled trial,

randomized controlled trials, random allocation, random*, randomized, randomization, randomly; systematic, systematic review, retrospective, and prospective studies. We found and reviewed 2423 articles in PubMed and 0 from other sources. We considered for inclusion 19 from PubMed and 0 from other sources. Of the 19 articles considered for inclusion, 13 randomized controlled trials and 0 systematic reviews met the inclusion criteria. There is one high-quality study and moderate-quality studies incorporated into this analysis.

Voltaren Gel: The following has been recommended regarding Voltaren gel in the MTUS/ACOEM guidelines

Topical NSAIDs are selectively recommended for treatment of chronic persistent pain where target tissue is superficially located.

Strength of Evidence – Recommended, Insufficient Evidence (I)

Level of Confidence – Low

Indications: Chronic persistent pain in a superficial area that is amenable to a topical agent. Should generally have intolerance of, or another indication against oral NSAID use.

Benefits: Improvement in pain and function. Avoidance of gastrointestinal adverse effects of some NSAIDs.

Harms: Irritation, allergy, having to use on skin that may interfere with some job performance needs

Frequency/Dose/Duration: Per manufacturer's recommendations

Indications for Discontinuation: Resolution, intolerance, adverse effects, or lack of benefits.

Rationale: There are no quality studies of treating chronic persistent pain with topical NSAIDs. The target tissue for most, but not all chronic persistent pain with an occupational basis is generally too deep for justification of use of topical NSAIDs. Topical NSAIDs are not invasive, have low adverse effects, are high cost for a typical treatment regimen, and are selectively recommended for treatment of conditions amenable to topical treatment who generally also have intolerance or other contraindication for oral NSAID use.

Evidence: There are high- and moderate-quality RCTs incorporated into this analysis. There are no quality studies evaluating topical NSAIDs for treatment of chronic persistent pain syndrome.

It has been brought to our attention that on occasion there has been some confusion in regards to prescriptions written from physician assistants and nurse practitioners in this office (Pain and Rehabilitative Consultants Medical Group) working under the direction of the primary treating physician. These prescriptions are not being honored and are refused to be filled by the adjusters because they are written by the physician assistant or nurse practitioner. All of our physician

assistants and nurse practitioners are licensed in California and work directly under the supervision of medical doctors. Please refer to the following labor code LC 3209.10 in regards to the legality of physician assistants, nurse practitioners, and primary treating physicians as it relates to the ability to write prescriptions:

LC 3209.10. (a) Medical treatment of a work-related injury required to cure or relieve the effects of the injury may be provided by a state licensed physician assistant or nurse practitioner, acting under the review or supervision of a physician and surgeon pursuant to standardized procedures or protocols within their lawfully authorized scope of practice. The reviewing or supervising physician and surgeon of the physician assistant or nurse practitioner shall be deemed to be the treating physician. For the purposes of this section, "medical treatment" includes the authority of the nurse practitioner or physician assistant to authorize the patient to receive time off from work for a period not to exceed three calendar days if that authority is included in a standardized procedure or protocol approved by the supervising physician. The nurse practitioner or physician assistant may cosign the Doctor's First Report of Occupational Injury or Illness. The treating physician shall make any determination of temporary disability and shall sign the report.

Followup:

4 Week(s)

CC:

Kweller, Esq., Zachary : 11/09/2020

Castro, Mario : 11/09/2020

UR, Chubb : 11/09/2020

This visit note has been electronically signed off by Fellows, Julia, PA-C on 11/09/2020

*Hand & Microsurgery Medical Group, Inc.*

Leonard Gordon, M.D.
Joshua Gordon, M.D.

2299 POST STREET, SUITE 103
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115
TEL: (415) 923-0992
FAX: (415) 923-1036

HAND & WRIST SURGERY
UPPER EXTREMITY SURGERY
MICROSURGERY
INDUSTRIAL INJURIES
MEDICAL - LEGAL

July 22, 2020

Mario Castro, Adjuster
Chubb Insurance
P.O. Box 42065
Phoenix, AZ 85080-2065

Pacific Workers' Compensation Law Center
333 Hegenberger Road, Suite 504
Oakland, CA 94621

RE: Jonathan Shockley
CLAIM #: 040519008736

Dear Gentilepersons:

Jonathan Shockley was seen and examined in my office on 7/22/2020 for the purposes of an orthopaedic hand surgery consultation.

IDENTIFICATION AND WORK HISTORY:

Jonathan Shockley is a 41-year-old, right-hand dominant EKG technician employed at Biotelemetry, Inc., where he worked from June of 2018 until June of 2019.

He worked forty hours a week. He did great deal of extremely repetitive work on a keyboard.

PAST MEDICAL HISTORY:

The patient has no diabetes, thyroid disease, rheumatoid or other arthritis, or systemic illness.

Patient Name: SHOCKLEY, JONATHAN
Chart Number: 285830
Claim Number: 040519008736
DOB: 09-27-1978
Date of Visit: 07-28-2020

HISTORY:

The patient provided me with the following history. He states that on 2/15/2019, he noted pain in his right hand and then the left, especially with use of the mouse. He made some ergonomic changes and moved to a pedal with no improvement.

He was treated by Dr. Lane and taken off work, and he was diagnosed with a repetitive stress injury. He was sent for extensive therapy with no improvement, and he was assessed as permanent and stationary in July of 2019.

He then was referred to Dr. Jamasbi and continued off work, and he had a QME by Dr. Stoller in October of 2019. An electrodiagnostic study was done which showed ulnar neuropathy at both elbows and a question of a radiculopathy at C6-7.

Dr. Jamasbi sent him for acupuncture treatment with temporary relief. He was also sent for massage, and he states he is concerned that the massage in fact made him worse, especially on the right side.

He presents at this time for surgical consultation.

No other treatment has been rendered.

CURRENT COMPLAINTS:

Currently, the patient has generalized pain in the extremities that is poorly localized.

He does not have any specific symptoms at night.

He has pain around the shoulder radiating distally.

There are no localizing features.

He states he does have a tremor in the hand.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION:

Examination was limited to the right and left upper extremities as follows:

There is a full, normal range of motion of the fingers, thumbs, wrists, and elbows.

The sensation is intact in all the fingers.

The Tinel's sign is negative over the median and ulnar nerves at the wrist and the elbow and particularly at the right and left elbows.

There is no evidence of nerve entrapment.

MD Logic Fax Page 4 of 4

Patient Name: SHOCKLEY, JONATHAN
Chart Number: 285830
Claim Number: 040519008736
DOB: 09-27-1978
Date of Visit: 07-28-2020

The elbow flexion test is negative.

The Phalen's test is negative.

DIAGNOSIS:

Repetitive Stress injury, right hand (M70.941) and Repetitive stress injury left hand. (M70.942).

ASSESSMENT:

Mr. Shockley appears to have repetitive stress as far as his right and left upper extremities are concerned.

I can find no evidence for nerve entrapment, despite the fact that the electrodiagnostic study at both elbows shows cubital tunnel syndrome. The provocative tests do not indicate that to be the case. I am unable to confirm this, and there are no localizing features.

I do not find any other problem, other than a nonspecific cumulative trauma in the extremities.

There is a question of a nerve problem in the neck with a question of radiculopathy, although this radiculopathy was at the C6-7 level and the patient's symptoms of the cubital tunnel and ulnar side of the hand primarily would be C8-T1. That said, I would leave it up to Dr. Jamasbi and a neck specialist to assess whether there are neck problems, although the extremity problems do not appear to arise from the neck.

I do not feel, therefore, that there are any surgical options that would be helpful. If anything changes, I would be pleased to reassess this.

Please let me know if I can provide any further information or assistance.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have not violated the provision of California Labor Code Section 139.9 and that the contents of the report are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed this 28th day of July, 2020, at San Francisco County, California.

Yours sincerely,



Leonard Gordon, MD

LG/jl